

Completeness of multiseparable superintegrability in $E_{2,C}$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 4105

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/33/22/313>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.118

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:10

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Completeness of multiseparable superintegrability in $E_{2,C}$

E G Kalnins[†], W Miller Jr[‡] and G S Pogosyan[§]

[†] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

[‡] School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

[§] Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Moscow Region 141980, Russia

Received 7 January 2000, in final form 16 March 2000

Abstract. The possibility that Schrödinger's equation with a given potential can separate in more than one coordinate system is intimately connected with the notion of superintegrability. Examples of this type of system are well known. In this paper we demonstrate how to establish a complete list of such potentials using essentially algebraic means. Our approach is to classify all nondegenerate potentials that admit a pair of second-order constants of motion. Here 'nondegenerate' means that the potentials depend on four independent parameters. This is carried out for two-dimensional complex Euclidean space, though the method generalizes to other spaces and dimensions. We show that all these superintegrable systems correspond to quadratic algebras, and we work out the detailed structure relations and their quantum analogues.

1. Introduction

It has long been known that Schrödinger's equation with certain special potentials can admit (multiplicative) separation of variables in more than one coordinate system. This is intimately related to the notion of superintegrability [1–3]. This subject has been studied by a number of authors, based on the use of the corresponding differential equations that are implied by the requirement of simultaneous separability [4–17]. Specifically, superintegrability here means that for a Schrödinger equation in dimension N there exist $2N - 1$ functionally independent second-order quantum mechanical observables (i.e., second-order[†] self-adjoint operators that commute with the Hamiltonian). There is an analogous concept of superintegrability for classical mechanical systems. This relates to the corresponding additive separation of variables of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. A first step in studying separability in the classical case is to realize that the direct formulation of the simultaneous separability requirement is not obviously tractable. An additional observation is that if we do have simultaneous separability then the resulting constants of motion are observed to close quadratically under repeated application of the Poisson bracket [13]. We also know that, for spaces of constant curvature, separable coordinate systems of the free motion are described by quadratic elements of the corresponding first-order symmetries [18–20].

Although concrete examples of superintegrable systems are easily at hand, a complete classification of all such systems has presented major difficulties. How can one be sure that all systems for free motion have been found? (For example, Rañada's classification [17] omits

[†] We restrict our definition to second-order symmetries because it is only these that could possibly be related to variable separation. A classification of superintegrable systems involving observables of arbitrary order remains open and it is not clear if our method of integrability conditions would be tractable in the more general case.

our system (5a) below.) Once these are determined, how can one be sure that the most general additive potential term has been calculated?

Here we take a new approach to the problem and apply it for the case of two-dimensional complex Euclidean space. In section 2 we classify all nondegenerate potentials that admit a pair of second-order constants of motion. Here ‘nondegenerate’ means that the potentials depend on four independent parameters. The requirement that a potential admit two constants of motion leads to two second-order partial differential equations obeyed by the potential, and the integrability conditions for these two simultaneous equations permit us to classify all possibilities. (The ‘direct approach’ would be to classify directly all cases in which there are multiparameter solutions to the two coupled second-order PDEs obeyed by the potential, each of the form (16), a very complicated procedure. We replace this procedure by finding all solutions of the integrability conditions, (23), (24) a system of linear equations. These equations are lengthy to write in detail (we used Maple to handle the calculations) but straightforward to solve. Then the PDEs (16) need to be solved only for the small number of cases in which we know that the integrability conditions are satisfied.) The classification is greatly simplified by the equivalence of two potentials that are related by an action of the complex Euclidean motion group. We then prove that each nondegenerate potential is associated with a pair of constants of motion in the classical case, and a pair of symmetry operators in the quantum case, that generate a quadratic algebra. Furthermore, we verify that there is a one-to-one correspondence between superintegrable systems and free-field symmetry operators that generate quadratic algebras. Finally, we demonstrate explicitly that superintegrability implies multiseparability, i.e., separability in more than one coordinate system.

This systematic classification approach introduces a ‘fine structure’ into our problem. It is easy to show that potentials admitting two constants of motion cannot depend on more than four parameters. However, potentials that depend on fewer parameters, i.e., that cannot be embedded in a four parameter family, are not associated with a quadratic algebra.

2. Completeness in two-dimensional Euclidean space

Due to the close connection between separation of variables and constants of motion [21], a common approach to the classification and study of superintegrable systems is to search for potentials that permit variable separation in more than one coordinate system. The Hamilton–Jacobi equation† is

$$H = p_x^2 + p_y^2 + V(x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}\right)^2 + V(x, y) = E. \quad (1)$$

The additive separation ansatz implies a solution

$$S = U(u, E, \alpha) + V(v, E, \alpha) \quad (2)$$

in a suitable coordinate system $x = x(u, v)$, $y = y(u, v)$. Here α is the separation constant. In the complex Euclidean plane there are six different separable coordinate systems, listed in the appendix, in what we take to be a standard form. One approach to our problem relates to finding all potentials that permit separation in more than one coordinate system. Here we have to allow for the possibility that the second coordinate system can be subjected to a Euclidean motion consisting of a rotation through the angle β and a translation by the vector (a, b) . Due to

† Here we omit the usual $\frac{1}{2}$ factor multiplying the kinetic energy term in both the Hamilton–Jacobi and Schrödinger equations. Since the potentials of superintegrable systems are arbitrary up to a multiplicative factor, the $\frac{1}{2}$ is extraneous here.

its complexity this is not a revealing approach. Furthermore, it does not exclude the possibility of superintegrability without variable separation. Instead we adopt a different method, one that does not require variable separation but that leads to it as a consequence. Furthermore, the classification depends on nothing that is intrinsically more complicated than solving a system of linear equations.

Let us assume that, in addition to the classical Hamiltonian, we have two quadratic constants of motion

$$L_h = \sum_{k,j=1}^2 a_{(h)}^{kj}(x, y) p_k p_j + W_{(h)}(x, y) \equiv \ell_h + W_{(h)} \quad h = 1, 2 \quad (3)$$

which must satisfy

$$\{H, L_h\} = 0$$

with $\{ \}$ the usual Poisson bracket. We require that the set $\{dH, dL_1, dL_2\}$ is linearly independent, so that H, L_1, L_2 is a maximal set of functionally independent constants of motion. It is clear that $R = \{L_1, L_2\}$ is a constant of motion, so it and R^2 must be expressible as an analytic function of H, L_1, L_2 :

$$R^2 = F(L_0, L_1, L_2) \quad H \equiv L_0. \quad (4)$$

Note that R has the form

$$R = \sum_{k,l,m=1}^2 c^{klm} p_k p_l p_m + \sum_{k=1}^2 a^k p_k \quad (5)$$

but that it does not follow that R^2 is necessarily a polynomial as a function of L_0, L_1, L_2 . We will find conditions that guarantee that F is a third-order polynomial in its arguments.

Using the identity

$$\{K, G\} = \sum_{h=0}^2 \{K, L_h\} \frac{\partial G}{\partial L_h} \quad (6)$$

for a continuously differentiable function $G(L_h)$, we find the relations

$$\{L_1, R\} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial L_2} \quad \{L_2, R\} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial L_1}. \quad (7)$$

Thus, the constants of motion $\{L_1, R\}, \{L_2, R\}$ are easily computed once F is known. Further, if F is a polynomial in the invariants, then so are $\{L_1, R\}$, and $\{L_2, R\}$.

We first determine the conditions that the function

$$L = \sum_{j,k=1}^2 a^{jk}(x, y) p_k p_j + W(x, y) \quad a^{jk} = a^{kj} \quad (8)$$

must satisfy to be a constant of motion. The requirement is $\{H, L\} = 0$ where

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_j} \right) \quad (x_1, x_2) = (x, y) \quad (9)$$

and

$$H = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + V(x, y). \quad (10)$$

The conditions are thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial a^{11}}{\partial x} = 0 & \quad 2 \frac{\partial a^{12}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial a^{11}}{\partial y} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial a^{22}}{\partial y} = 0 & \quad \frac{\partial a^{22}}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial a^{12}}{\partial y} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - a^{11} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - a^{12} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - a^{12} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - a^{22} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0. \tag{12}$$

The solution for the terms quadratic in the p_j is

$$a^{11} = \alpha_1 y^2 + \alpha_2 y + \alpha'_3 \tag{13}$$

$$a^{12} = -\alpha_1 x y - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 x - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 y + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_5 \tag{14}$$

$$a^{22} = \alpha_1 x^2 + \alpha_4 x + \alpha''_3 \tag{15}$$

where the α_k are constants. The requirement that $\partial_x W_y = \partial_y W_x$ leads from (12) to the second-order partial differential equation for the potential [22–26]

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha_1 x y + \alpha_2 x + \alpha_4 y - \alpha_5)(V_{xx} - V_{yy}) + (\alpha_1[y^2 - x^2] + \alpha_2 y - \alpha_4 x + \alpha_3)V_{xy} \\ & = (-3\alpha_1 y - \frac{3}{2}\alpha_2)V_x + (3\alpha_1 x + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_4)V_y \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha_3 = \alpha'_3 - \alpha''_3$. We denote the solution space of this equation by

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5]. \tag{17}$$

Let us now return to our assumption that the Hamilton–Jacobi equation admits two constants of motion:

$$L_h = \sum_{j,k=1}^2 a_{(h)}^{jk} p_k p_j + W_{(h)} \quad h = 1, 2.$$

These two operators together with H are assumed functionally independent. The constant of motion L_1 leads to the condition that the potential V belong to the solution space (17), whereas L_2 leads to the solution space

$$[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_5]. \tag{18}$$

Thus the potential must lie in the intersection of the solution spaces (17) and (18). It follows that the equations

$$V_{xx} - V_{yy} = AV_x + BV_y \quad V_{xy} = CV_x + DV_y \tag{19}$$

must hold, where

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathcal{E} &= \frac{3}{2}H_{12}(x^2 + y^2) - 3H_{14}xy + 3H_{13}y - \frac{3}{2}H_{24}x + \frac{3}{2}H_{23} \\ B\mathcal{E} &= \frac{3}{2}H_{14}(x^2 + y^2) - 3H_{12}xy - 3H_{13}x + \frac{3}{2}H_{24}y + \frac{3}{2}H_{34} \\ 2C\mathcal{E} &= -3H_{14}y^2 + (-\frac{3}{2}H_{24} + 3H_{15})y + \frac{3}{2}H_{25} \\ 2D\mathcal{E} &= 3H_{12}x^2 + (-\frac{3}{2}H_{24} - 3H_{15})x - \frac{3}{2}H_{45} \\ 2\mathcal{E} &= -H_{12}xy^2 + H_{14}x^2y - H_{12}x^3 + H_{14}y^3 - 2H_{13}xy + H_{24}(x^2 + y^2) \\ & \quad + H_{15}(x^2 - y^2) + (H_{34} - H_{25})y + (H_{45} - H_{23})x - H_{35} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and $H_{k\ell} = -H_{\ell k} = \alpha_k \beta_\ell - \alpha_\ell \beta_k$.

From the fundamental equations (19) we can compute all of the third partial derivatives of V . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} V_{xxx} &= (A_x + BC + C_y + C^2 + A^2)V_x + (B_x + DB + D_y + CD + AB)V_y \\ & \quad + (A + D)V_{yy} \\ V_{xxy} &= (C_x + DC + AC)V_x + (D_x + D^2 + BC)V_y + CV_{yy} \\ V_{xyy} &= (C_y + C^2)V_x + (D_y + CD)V_y + DV_{yy} \\ V_{yyy} &= (-A_y + C_x + DC)V_x + (-B_y - AD + D_x + D^2 + BC)V_y + (C - B)V_{yy}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Thus if the potential V belongs to the solution spaces (17) and (18), then V can depend on at most three parameters, in addition to a trivial additive constant. We can choose these parameters to be $V_x(x_0, y_0)$, $V_y(x_0, y_0)$, $V_{yy}(x_0, y_0)$ for any fixed regular point (x_0, y_0) . Then $V_{xx}(x_0, y_0)$ and all higher derivatives can be computed by successive differentiation of relations (21). We require that our potential be *nondegenerate*, i.e., that it depend on three arbitrary parameters.

Then, the conditions $\partial_x V_{xxy} = \partial_y V_{xxx}$, $\partial_y V_{xxy} = \partial_x V_{xyy}$, $\partial_y V_{xyy} = \partial_x V_{yyy}$ for the fourth partial derivatives lead to the integrability conditions

$$\partial_x(2C - B) = \partial_y(2D + A) \quad (\text{satisfied identically}) \tag{22}$$

$$C_{xx} - C_{yy} - A_{xy} = 2CC_y - DA_y - 2CD_x + AA_y - AC_x + CB_y + BC_y \tag{23}$$

$$D_{xx} - D_{yy} - B_{xy} = -2DD_x - CB_x + 2DC_y - BB_x - BD_y + DA_x + AD_x. \tag{24}$$

Note that if we have another constant of motion L_3 associated with a nondegenerate potential, then L_3 must be a linear combination of H, L_1, L_2 . Indeed, if L_3 is not a linear combination of the basis functions, then the potential V must satisfy an equation (16) that is linearly independent of the equations associated with L_1, L_2 . This means an additional constraint on the solution space and that V can depend on at most two parameters, which is a contradiction.

We will use the conditions (23) and (24) to classify the possible potentials V and the corresponding constants of motion L_1, L_2 . For this we note that it is only the three-dimensional subspace spanned by H, L_1, L_2 that matters; we can choose any basis for this subspace. Hence we can replace the subspace bases (17) and (18) by linear combinations of themselves without changing the potential. Moreover, to simplify the results we note that we can always subject the coordinates (x, y) , and L_1, L_2 to a simultaneous Euclidean motion, i.e., we regard all translated and rotated potentials as members of the same equivalence class.

Multiplying both sides of (23) and (24) by \mathcal{E}^3 we obtain polynomial identities in x and y . Equating the coefficients of the various powers $x^n y^m$ we obtain conditions on the parameters H_{jk} . The simplest nontrivial condition, which is associated with the coefficient of a fifth-order power in either of the equations, is

$$2H_{15}(H_{14}^2 - H_{12}^2) + H_{24}(H_{14}^2 + H_{12}^2) - 4H_{14}H_{12}H_{13} = 0. \tag{25}$$

We exploit these and the remaining conditions, and Euclidean motions to classify the possibilities for the L_j . The full conditions (23) and (24), expressed in terms of the parameter H_{ij} , take several pages to list and are complicated to solve in general. (Indeed a symbol manipulation program was an important aid to our computations.) However, by dividing the problem up into special cases and using Euclidean motions, we can simplify the conditions and obtain a full solution. In the listing that follows we use the fact that the constants of motion can each be expressed as a quadratic element in the enveloping algebra of the Euclidean group in the plane with basis elements

$$p_x, p_y, M = xp_y - yp_x$$

to which a potential term $W(x, y)$ is added. (Strictly speaking, conditions (23) and (24) are only necessary conditions for existence of nondegenerate potentials. However, in our case-by-case study we have found that they are also sufficient: all solutions of these equations lead to nondegenerate potentials.)

Suppose $I \equiv H_{12}^2 + H_{14}^2 \neq 0$. Via an appropriate coordinate rotation through complex angle θ we obtain a new set of equations (19) in the rotated coordinates where the new parameters H'_{12}, H'_{14} are related to the original ones by

$$H'_{12} = H_{12} \cos \theta + H_{14} \sin \theta \quad H'_{14} = H_{14} \cos \theta - H_{12} \sin \theta \tag{26}$$

and for which $I = I'$. Thus, by an appropriate choice of θ , we can assume $H_{12} = 0$. (Similarly, the translation $x = x' + a, y = y' + b$ induces new parameters H'_{ij}

$$\begin{aligned} H'_{12} &= H_{12} & H'_{14} &= H_{14} & H'_{13} &= H_{13} + bH_{12} - aH_{14} \\ H'_{15} &= H_{15} - aH_{12} - bH_{14} & H'_{24} &= H_{24} - 2aH_{12} + 2bH_{14} \\ H'_{45} &= H_{45} + a(H_{24} + 2H_{15}) - 2a^2H_{12} & H'_{25} &= H_{25} + b(2H_{15} - H_{24}) - 2b^2H_{14} \\ H'_{23} &= H_{23} - aH_{24} + 2bH_{13} + a^2H_{12} - 2abH_{14} + b^2H_{12} \\ H'_{34} &= H_{34} - 2aH_{13} + bH_{24} + a^2H_{14} - 2abH_{12} + b^2H_{14} \\ H'_{35} &= H_{35} + a(H_{23} - H_{45}) + b(H_{25} - H_{34}) - a^2(H_{15} + H_{24}) + 2abH_{13} + b^2(H_{15} - H_{24}) \\ &\quad + a^3H_{12} - a^2bH_{14} + ab^2H_{12} - b^3H_{14} \end{aligned}$$

expressed in terms of the original H_{ij} parameters.) Then, by an appropriate Euclidean translation that leaves H_{12}, H_{14} unchanged, it follows from (20) that we can assume $H_{13} = H_{24} = 0$. Then (25) implies $H_{15} = 0$ so, since $H_{ij} = \alpha_i\beta_j - \alpha_j\beta_i$, we can assume $H_{35} = H_{23} = 0$. Further, the fourth-order integrability conditions give $H_{34} = H_{45} = 0$.

Case (1): $H_{12}^2 + H_{14}^2 \neq 0$

$$[1, 0, 0, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]. \tag{27}$$

Here,

$$L_1 = 4M^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = -2Mp_y + W^{(2)} \tag{28}$$

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \left[\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + x} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - x} \right]. \tag{29}$$

This potential allows separation in parabolic or polar coordinates. (Note: due to expressions (12), it is always a straightforward integration to compute the terms $W^{(j)}$, and we will not list these explicitly. Furthermore, once the constants of motion for a superintegrable system are known, it is relatively straightforward to determine the possible separable coordinate systems associated with this system. This is due to the fact that the constants of motion for the separable coordinate systems are already known (see the appendix). The only complication is that we may have to apply a Euclidean transformation to the standardized constant of motion for the separable system to obtain the corresponding symmetry of the superintegrable system. Here, we simply list the separable systems associated with each superintegrable system, and provide details only in the cases where shifted coordinates occur.)

If, on the other hand, $H_{12} = \pm iH_{14} \neq 0$, then, via translation, we can assume $H_{24} = 0$. In this case (25) implies $H_{15} = iH_{13}$.

Case (2): $H_{12} = \pm iH_{14} \neq 0$

$$[1, 0, \alpha_3, 0, -i\alpha_3 - i\beta_3^2] \quad [0, -1, \beta_3, i, i\beta_3]. \tag{30}$$

Here

$$L_1 = M^2 - \frac{\beta_3^2}{4} p_+^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\beta_3^2}{4} \right) p_-^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = Mp_+ + \frac{\beta_3}{2} p_+^2 + W^{(2)} \tag{31}$$

where $p_{\pm} = p_x \pm ip_y, z = x + iy$, and $\bar{z} = x - iy$. There are two subcases to consider. If $\mu = 2\alpha_3 + \beta_3^2 \neq 0$, then via a rotation about the origin we achieve the following.

Case (2a):

$$[1, 0, 2c^2, 0, 0] \quad [0, -1, ic, i, -c] \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= M^2 + c^2 p_x^2 + W^{(1)} & L_2 &= Mp_+ + \frac{ic}{2} p_+^2 + W^{(2)} \\ V(x) &= \frac{\alpha z}{(c^2 - z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{(c - z)(c + \bar{z})}} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(c + z)(c + \bar{z})}}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The corresponding Hamilton–Jacobi and Schrödinger equations for this system separates in elliptical coordinates (see the appendix), as well as shifted elliptical coordinates. In terms of the cartesian coordinates x_E, y_E associated with the elliptic coordinates, the shifted coordinates are $X = x_E - c, Y = y_E - ic$. The corresponding operator in this case is $(M + ic(p_x + ip_y))^2 + c^2 p_x^2$.

If $\mu = 0$ we have the following.

Case (2b):

$$[1, 0, 2, 0, 2i] \quad [0, -1, 2i, i, -2] \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= M^2 + p_+^2 + W^{(1)} & L_2 &= (M + 2ip_+)^2 + p_+^2 + W^{(2)} \\ V(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{z^2} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{z^3(\bar{z} + 2)}} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{z(\bar{z} + 2)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This system separates in terms of hyperbolic coordinates (see the appendix) and displaced hyperbolic coordinates. In terms of the cartesian coordinates x_H, y_H associated with the hyperbolic coordinates, the displaced coordinates are $X = x_H - 2, Y = y_H - 2i$.

Now suppose $H_{12} = H_{14} = 0$.

Case (3): $H_{12} = H_{14} = 0, \alpha_1 \neq 0$

$$[1, 0, 0, 0, \alpha_5] \quad [0, 0, \beta_3, 0, \beta_5]. \tag{36}$$

This breaks up into three subcases. A rotation through complex angle θ has the effect

$$H'_{15} = H_{15} \cos 2\theta + H_{13} \sin 2\theta \quad H'_{13} = H_{13} \cos 2\theta - H_{15} \sin 2\theta \quad H'_{24} = H_{24}. \tag{37}$$

Thus, if $H_{15}^2 + H_{13}^2 \neq 0$ we can achieve $H_{15} = 0$. Then, we can assume via translation that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = 0$, so $H_{24} = 0$. An integrability condition gives $H_{35} = 0$. Thus,

Case (3a): $H_{15}^2 + H_{13}^2 \neq 0$

$$[1, 0, 0, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 1, 0, 0]. \tag{38}$$

Here

$$L_1 = M^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = p_x^2 + W^{(2)} \tag{39}$$

$$V(x) = \alpha(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{\beta}{x^2} + \frac{\gamma}{y^2}. \tag{40}$$

This potential permits separation in polar, elliptic and cartesian coordinates.

If, however, $H_{15} = \pm iH_{13} \neq 0$, we can again translate to get $H_{24} = 0$, and find two possibilities, depending on whether $H_{35} = 0$. (Here case (3b) can be considered as a limit of case (3c) as the parameter $c \rightarrow 0$.)

Case (3b): $H_{15}^2 + H_{13}^2 = 0$
 $[1, 0, 0, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 2, 0, \pm 2i].$ (41)

Here

$$L_1 = M^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = p_+^2 + W^{(2)}$$

$$V(x) = \alpha \frac{x^2 + y^2}{(x + iy)^4} + \frac{\beta}{(x + iy)^2} + \gamma(x^2 + y^2). \quad (42)$$

(There is a similar solution where the term p_+^2 in L_2 is replaced by p_-^2 .) The potential permits separation in hyperbolic and polar coordinates.

Case (3c): $H_{15}^2 + H_{13}^2 = 0$
 $[1, 0, c^2, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 2, 0, \pm 2i].$ (43)

Here

$$L_1 = M^2 + c^2 p_x^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = p_+^2 + W^{(2)}$$

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha z}{\sqrt{z^2 - c^2}} + \frac{\beta \bar{z}}{\sqrt{z^2 - c^2}(z + \sqrt{z^2 - c^2})^2} + \gamma z \bar{z}. \quad (44)$$

The potential permits separation in hyperbolic and elliptic coordinates. Indeed, instead of the basis L_1, L_2 let us consider the basis $M^2 + c^2 p_x^2$ and $M^2 + \frac{1}{4}c^2(p_x - ip_y)^2$. Corresponding to these operators are (1) the normal choice of elliptic coordinates and (2) the choice of hyperbolic coordinates $x = \frac{1}{2}cx_H$ and $y = -\frac{i}{2}cy_H$ (see the appendix).

Case (4): $H_{12} = H_{13} = H_{14} = H_{15} = 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0, H_{24} \neq 0$
 $[0, 1, 0, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 0, 1, 0].$ (45)

Here

$$L_1 = -2Mp_x + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = -2Mp_y + W^{(2)}$$

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \beta \frac{(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + \gamma \frac{(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} - x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}. \quad (47)$$

Separation of variables is possible in two types of parabolic coordinates, the usual parabolic coordinates and the interchanged parabolic coordinates $x = \mu v, y = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 - v^2)$.

Case (5): $H_{12} = H_{13} = H_{14} = H_{15} = 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0, H_{24} = 0$
 $[0, 1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5] \quad [0, 0, \beta_3, 0, \beta_5].$ (48)

If $(H_{34} - H_{25})^2 + (H_{45} - H_{23})^2 \neq 0$ we can make a complex rotation to achieve $H_{45} = H_{23}$. Then we can take $H_{25} = 1$ and the constant term integrability conditions yield $H_{34} = -H_{23}^2 = 1$.

Case (5a): $(H_{34} - H_{25})^2 + (H_{45} - H_{23})^2 \neq 0$
 $[0, 1, \alpha_3, \pm i, 0] \quad [0, 0, \pm i, 0, 1].$ (49)

Here we choose the typical case

$$L_1 = 4iMp_- + p_+^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = p_-^2 + W^{(2)}$$

$$V(x) = \alpha(x - iy) + \beta(x + iy - \frac{3}{2}(x - iy)^2) + \gamma(x^2 + y^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x - iy)^3). \quad (51)$$

The possible separable coordinates are semihyperbolic coordinates corresponding to operator $Mp_- + p_+^2$ and shifted semihyperbolic coordinates with operator $Mp_- + \delta p_-^2 + p_+^2$. This corresponds to the standard coordinates shifted via the transformation $x \rightarrow x + \delta, y \rightarrow y + i\delta$.

Case (5b): $(H_{34} - H_{25})^2 + (H_{45} - H_{23})^2 = 0$.

Here $(H_{34} - H_{25}) = \pm i(H_{45} - H_{23}) \neq 0$. The constant term integrability conditions, and a translation in y , yield the solutions

$$[0, 1, 0, \alpha_4, 0] \quad [0, 0, \beta_3, 0, 1] \quad \beta_3 = \pm i \quad \alpha_4 \neq \beta_3. \quad (52)$$

Then, an appropriate rotation about the origin takes this to

$$[0, 0, 0, 1, 0] \quad [0, 0, 1, 0, \pm i].$$

This system, for which now $\alpha_2 = 0$, corresponds to case (6b) below.

Case (6): $H_{12} = H_{13} = H_{14} = H_{15} = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, \alpha_3 \neq 0$.

The constant term integrability condition is $H_{45}(H_{45}^2 + H_{34}^2) = 0$. There are two cases.

Case (6a): $H_{45} = 0$

$$[0, 0, 1, 0, 0] \quad [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]. \quad (53)$$

Here

$$L_1 = p_x^2 + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = -2Mp_y + W^{(2)} \quad (54)$$

$$V(x) = \alpha(4x^2 + y^2) + \beta x + \frac{\gamma}{y^2}. \quad (55)$$

The possible separable coordinates are cartesian and parabolic.

Case (6b): $H_{45} \neq 0$

$$[0, 0, 1, 0, \pm i] \quad [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]. \quad (56)$$

Here we take

$$L_1 = 2p_y p_x + W^{(1)} \quad L_2 = Mp_y + W^{(2)} \quad (57)$$

$$V(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{x + iy}} + \beta x + \gamma \frac{2x + iy}{\sqrt{x + iy}}. \quad (58)$$

There is the possibility of separability in parabolic coordinates $\{Mp_y\}$ or displaced parabolic coordinates $\{(M + \delta(p_x \pm ip_y))p_y\}$ for suitable δ .

Now we demonstrate that there is a quadratic algebra associated with each nondegenerate potential. Because we are working in two dimensions there can only be three functionally independent constants at most. Consequently, all Poisson brackets must be functionally dependent on $H = L_0, L_1$ and L_2 . We want to show that in fact $R^2 = \{L_1, L_2\}^2 = F(L_0, L_1, L_2)$ is a polynomial in these variables.

Note that for arbitrary L_1, L_2 , the F is in general *not* a polynomial. Consider the example

$$L_0 = p_x^2 + p_y^2 \quad L_1 = M^2 + p_x p_y \quad L_2 = p_x^2.$$

Then we have $R = \{L_1, L_2\} = 4Mp_x p_y$ and

$$R^2 = F(L_0, L_1, L_2) = 16L_1 L_2 (L_0 - L_2) - 16L_2^{\frac{3}{2}} (L_0 - L_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Here, although F is defined and bounded at the point $(L_0, L_1, L_2) = (0, 0, 0)$, it is not analytic at this point. Thus it has no power series expansion about the origin. We conjecture that this is an illustration of the general problem: if F is not a polynomial, then there are branch points or cuts at $(0, 0, 0)$.

We will show, however, that for nondegenerate potentials the associated F is a polynomial. First, we can verify that this is true when the potential is turned off, i.e., if we consider only the functions

$$\ell_h = \sum_{j,k=1}^2 a_{(h)}^{jk} p_k p_j \quad i = h, 2 \quad \ell_0 = p_x^2 + p_y^2$$

where $L_h = \ell_h + W^{(h)}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$. Then for each of the cases listed above it is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{R}^2 = \mathcal{P}_3(\ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2)$ where \mathcal{P}_3 is a homogeneous third-order polynomial in its arguments[†]. It follows that

$$R^2 = F(L_0, L_1, L_2) = \mathcal{P}_3(L_0, L_1, L_2) + F_4(\mathbf{s}, L_0, L_1, L_2) \quad (59)$$

where F_4 is a fourth-, second- and zeroth-order polynomial in the momenta p_x, p_y , and $F_4(\mathbf{0}, L_0, L_1, L_2) = 0$. Here, the parameters in the potential are denoted by $\mathbf{s} = (V_x^0, V_y^0, V_{yy}^0)$, evaluated at some fixed point (x_0, y_0) and F_4 is a polynomial function of these parameters.

From (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\ell_1, \mathcal{R}\} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_3}{\partial \ell_2}(\ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2) \\ \{\ell_2, \mathcal{R}\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_3}{\partial \ell_1}(\ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_1, R\} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_3}{\partial L_2}(L_0, L_1, L_2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial L_2}(\mathbf{s}) \\ \{L_2, R\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_3}{\partial L_1}(L_0, L_1, L_2) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial L_1}(\mathbf{s}) \end{aligned}$$

where the $\partial F_4 / \partial L_h(\mathbf{s})$ have only terms of orders two and zero in the momenta. It follows that the $\partial F_4 / \partial L_h(\mathbf{s})$ must be expressible as linear combinations of the L_h . This shows that the commutators $\{L_h, R\}$ can be expressed as polynomials in L_0, L_1, L_2 . It is then a simple matter to verify that F itself is a polynomial in L_0, L_1, L_2 .

We now list the quadratic algebra relations for each of the cases studied above. In view of relations (7) it is sufficient to give the relation $R^2 = F(L_0, L_1, L_2)$ for each case.

Case (1): $[1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 &= 16L_1^2 H - 16L_2^2 L_1 - 32(\beta + \gamma)L_2^2 \\ &\quad + 64\alpha(\beta - \gamma)L_2 + 16\alpha^2 L_1 - 256\beta\gamma H - 32\alpha^2(\beta + \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Case (2a): $[1, 0, 2c^2, 0, 0], [0, -1, ic, i, -c]$

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 &= \frac{1}{2}c^4 H^3 - 4icL_2^3 + 2c^2 L_2^2 H - 4L_2^2 L_1 - c^2 H^2 L_1 - ic^3 H^2 L_2 + \frac{i}{2}c^4 \alpha H^2 \\ &\quad + 2i\alpha c^2 L_2^2 - c^2 \alpha^2 L_1 + ic(\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - c^2 \alpha^2)L_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-c^2 \beta^2 + c^4 \alpha^2 + c^2 \gamma^2)H + \frac{1}{2}(2\beta\gamma + ic^2 \alpha^2)c^2 \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

[†] Moreover, it is straightforward to verify that the cases corresponding to nondegenerate potentials are the *only* cases where \mathcal{P}_3 is a homogeneous third-order polynomial in its arguments. Thus the possible quadratic algebras generated by second-order elements in the Euclidean Lie algebra correspond one-to-one with nondegenerate potentials.

Case (2b): $[1, 0, 2, 0, 2i], [0, -1, 2i, i, -2]$

$$R^2 = -2L_1^3 - 2L_2^3 + 2L_2^2L_1 + 2L_1^2L_2 + 32\alpha HL_1 + 32\alpha HL_2 - 8\beta\gamma L_1 + 8\beta\gamma L_2 + 16\beta^2H + 16\alpha\gamma^2.$$

Case (3a): $[1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0, 0]$

$$R^2 = -16L_2^2L_1 + 16L_2L_1H - 16\beta H^2 - 16(\beta + \gamma)L_2^2 - 16\alpha L_1^2 + 32HL_2 + 64\alpha\beta\gamma.$$

Case (3b): $[1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 2, 0, \pm 2i]$

$$R^2 = -16L_1^3 + 32L_1^2L_2 - 16L_2^2L_1 + 16\alpha H^2 + 16\beta HL_2 - 16\beta HL_1 - 64\alpha\gamma L_1 - 16\beta^2\gamma.$$

Case (3c): $[1, 0, c^2, 0, 0], [0, 0, 2, 0, \pm 2i]$

$$R^2 = 4c^2L_2^3 + 8c^2L_2^2H - 16L_2^2L_1 + 4c^2H^2L_2 + (4i\beta - 2c^4\gamma)H^2 + 8ic^2\alpha L_2^2 + (4c^4\gamma^2 - 16i\beta\gamma)L_1 + (4\alpha^2c^2 - c^6\gamma^2 + 4ic^2\gamma\beta)L_2 + (-2c^6\gamma^2 + 8ic^2\gamma\beta + 8\beta\alpha)H + 2c^4\alpha^2\gamma - 2ic^6\gamma^2\alpha - 8c^2\alpha\beta\gamma - 4i\alpha^2\beta.$$

Case (4): $[0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$

$$R^2 = 4HL_1^2 + 4HL_2^2 + 4(\beta^2 - \gamma^2)L_2 - 8\beta\gamma L_1 - 4\alpha^2H - 4\alpha(\beta^2 + \gamma^2).$$

Case (5a): $[0, -4i, 2, 1, 2i], [0, 0, 2, 0, -2i]$

$$R^2 = 64L_2^3 - 64\gamma H^2 - 128\alpha L_2^2 + 128\beta HL_2 + 64\gamma L_2L_1 + 64\alpha^2L_2 + 64\beta^2L_1 - 128\beta\alpha H.$$

Case (6a): $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$

$$R^2 = 16L_1^3 - 32L_1^2H + 16H^2L_1 - 16\alpha L_2^2 - 8\beta HL_2 + 8\beta L_2L_1 - 64\alpha\gamma L_1 - 4\beta^2\gamma.$$

Case (6b): $[0, 0, -2i, 0, 2], [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$

$$R^2 = 2iL_1^3 + L_1^2H - \beta HL_2 - 2i\beta L_2L_1 - \gamma^2L_2 - i\alpha\gamma L_1 + \frac{1}{4}\beta\alpha^2.$$

3. Quantum superintegrability in two-dimensional Euclidean space

Here we give the analogous quantum algebras for superintegrable systems arising from the potentials we have already computed. The only difference is that the Poisson bracket is now replaced by the commutator bracket $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and the operators H, L_1 and L_2 are the obvious (formally self-adjoint) symmetry partial differential operators:

$$H = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + V(x, y) \quad L_h = \sum_{k,j=1}^2 \partial_k(\alpha_{(h)}^{kj})\partial_j + W_{(h)}(x, y) \quad h = 1, 2. \quad (60)$$

Just as for the Hamilton–Jacobi case, if we have another constant of motion L associated with a maximal potential, then L must be a linear combination of H, L_1, L_2 . Indeed, if L is in self-adjoint form, then the conditions that $[H, L] = 0$ are identical with (11) and (12). Thus, if L is not a linear combination of the basis functions, then the potential V must satisfy an equation (16) that is linearly independent of the equations associated with L_1, L_2 . This means

an additional constraint on the solution space and that V can depend on at most two parameters, which is a contradiction.

Furthermore the proof of the existence of quadratic algebra relations at the end of section 2 goes through almost unchanged for the operator case: $[L_1, L_2]^2 = R^2$ and $[L_1, R], [L_2, R]$ can be expressed as (symmetric) polynomials in the operators H, L_1, L_2 . To make the prior construction go through, one need only note that since R^2 is a formally self-adjoint sixth-order differential symmetry operator, the fifth-order terms are fixed linear functions of the sixth-order terms. The expressions $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ and $\{A, B, C\} = ABC + CAB + BCA$ are operator symmetrizers. The explicit relations are as follows.

Case (1):

$$\begin{aligned} [L_2, R] &= 8L_2^2 + 8HL_2 + 8\alpha^2 \\ [L_1, R] &= 8\{L_2, L_1\} + 16(1 + 2\beta + 2\gamma)L_2 + 32\alpha(\gamma - \beta) \\ R^2 &= 16L_1^2H - \frac{8}{3}\{L_2, L_2, L_1\} - 16(2\beta + 2\gamma + \frac{11}{3})L_2^2 - \frac{176}{3}HL_1 + 64\alpha(\beta - \gamma)L_2 \\ &\quad + 16\alpha^2L_1 + (-\frac{32}{3} + 96\gamma + 96\beta + 256\beta\gamma)H - \frac{32}{3}\alpha^2(3\beta + 3\gamma - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Case (2a):

$$\begin{aligned} [L_1, R] &= -\frac{1}{2}ic^3H^2 - 6icL_2^2 + 2c^2HL_2 - 2\{L_1, L_2\} \\ &\quad + (2i\alpha c^2 - 1)L_2 - \frac{1}{2}ic^3\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2}ic\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}ic\gamma^2 \\ [L_2, R] &= \frac{1}{2}c^2H^2 + 2L_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}c^2\alpha^2 \\ R^2 &= \frac{1}{2}c^4H^3 - 4icL_2^3 + 2c^2L_2^2H - \frac{2}{3}\{L_1, L_1, L_2\} - c^2H^2L_1 - ic^3H^2L_2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{i}{2}c^2\alpha + \frac{1}{12}\right)H^2 + \left(2i\alpha c^2 - \frac{11}{3}\right)L_2^2 - c^2\alpha^2L_1 \\ &\quad + ic(\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - c^2\alpha^2)L_2 + \frac{1}{2}(-c^2\beta^2 + c^4\alpha^2 + c^2\gamma^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(2\beta\gamma + ic^2\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{12}\alpha)c^2\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Case (2b):

$$\begin{aligned} [L_1, R] &= L_1^2 - 3L_2^2 + \{L_1, L_2\} + 16\alpha H + L_1 - L_2 + 4\beta, \gamma \\ [L_2, R] &= 3L_1^2 - L_2^2 - \{L_1, L_2\} - 16\alpha H + L_1 - L_2 + 4\beta, \gamma \\ R^2 &= -2L_1^3 - 2L_2^3 + \frac{1}{3}\{L_2, L_2, L_1\} + \frac{1}{3}\{L_1, L_1, L_2\} - \frac{11}{3}L_1^2 - \frac{11}{3}L_2^2 \\ &\quad + 32\alpha HL_1 + 32\alpha HL_2 + \frac{11}{3}\{L_1, L_2\} - 8\gamma\beta L_1 + 8\gamma\beta L_2 \\ &\quad + (-\frac{16}{3}\alpha + 16\beta^2)H + 16\alpha\gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case (3a):

$$\begin{aligned} [L_2, R] &= -8L_2^2 + 8HL_2 - 16\alpha L_1 + 8\alpha \\ [L_1, R] &= -8HL_1 + 8\{L_2, L_1\} - 8(1 + 2\beta)H + 16(1 + \beta + \gamma)L_2 \\ R^2 &= -\frac{8}{3}\{L_2, L_2, L_1\} + 8H\{L_2, L_1\} - 4(3 + 4\beta)H^2 - 16(\beta + \gamma - \frac{11}{3})L_2^2 - 16\alpha L_1^2 \\ &\quad + 16\left(2\beta + \frac{11}{3}\right)HL_2 + \frac{176}{3}\alpha L_2 + 16\alpha\left(3\beta + 3\gamma + 4\beta\gamma + \frac{2\alpha}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Case (3b):

$$[L_2, R] = -8L_2^2 - 32\alpha\gamma$$

$$[L_1, R] = 16\{L_2, L_1\} - 8\beta H + 16L_2$$

$$R^2 = -\frac{8}{3}\{L_2, L_2, L_1\} + 16\alpha H^2 - \frac{176}{3}L_2^2 + 16\beta H L_2 - 64\alpha\gamma L_1 + (\frac{64}{3}\alpha\gamma - 16\beta^2\gamma).$$

Case (3c):

$$[L_2, R] = L_2^2 + 8i\beta\gamma - 2c^4\gamma^2$$

$$[L_1, R] = 2c^2 H^2 + 6c^2 L_2^2 + 8c^2 H L_2 - 8\{L_1, L_2\} \\ + (-16 + 8ic^2\alpha)L_2 - \frac{1}{2}c^6\gamma^2 + 2\alpha^2 c^2 + 2ic^2\beta\gamma$$

$$R^2 = 4c^2 L_2^3 + 8c^2 L_2^2 H - \frac{8}{3}\{L_2, L_2, L_1\} \\ + 4c^2 H^2 L_2 + (4i\beta - 2c^4\gamma)H^2 + (8ic^2\alpha - \frac{176}{3})L_2^2 \\ + (4c^4\gamma^2 - 16i\beta\gamma)L_1 + (4\alpha^2 c^2 - c^6\gamma^2 + 4ic^2\gamma\beta)L_2 \\ + (-2c^6\gamma^2 + 8ic^2\gamma\beta + 8\beta\alpha)H + 2c^4\alpha^2\gamma - 2ic^6\gamma^2\alpha \\ - 8c^2\alpha\beta\gamma - 4i\alpha^2\beta - \frac{4}{3}\gamma^2 c^4 + \frac{16i}{3}\beta\gamma.$$

Case (4):

$$[L_2, R] = 4H L_1 - 4\beta\gamma$$

$$[L_1, R] = -4H L_2 + 2(\gamma^2 - \beta^2)$$

$$R^2 = 4L_1^2 H + 4L_2^2 H + 4H^2 + 4(\beta^2 - \gamma^2)L_2 - 8\beta\gamma L_1 - 4\alpha^2 H - 4\alpha(\gamma^2 + \beta^2).$$

Case (5a):

$$[L_2, R] = 32\gamma L_2 + 32\beta^2$$

$$[L_1, R] = -96L_2^2 - 64\beta H + 128\alpha L_2 - 32\gamma L_1 - 32\alpha^2$$

$$R^2 = 64L_2^3 - 64\gamma H^2 - 128\alpha L_2^2 + 128\beta H L_2 + 32\gamma\{L_2, L_1\} + 64\alpha^2 L_2 \\ + 64\beta^2 L_1 - 128\beta\alpha H - 256\gamma^2.$$

Case (6a):

$$[L_2, R] = 8H^2 + 24L_1^2 - 32L_1 H + 4\beta L_2 - \alpha(24 + 32\gamma)$$

$$[L_1, R] = 4\beta H + 16\alpha L_2 - 4\beta L_1$$

$$R^2 = 16L_1^3 - 32H L_1^2 + 16H^2 L_1 - 16\alpha L_2^2 - 8\beta H L_2 + 4\beta\{L_2, L_1\} \\ - (64\alpha\gamma + 176\alpha)L_1 + 128\alpha H - (4\gamma\beta^2 + 3\beta^2).$$

Case (6b):

$$[L_2, R] = 3iL_1^2 + H L_1 - i\beta L_2 - \frac{i}{2}\alpha\gamma$$

$$[L_1, R] = \frac{1}{2}\beta H + i\beta L_1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2$$

$$R^2 = 2iL_1^3 + L_1^2 H - \beta H L_2 - i\beta\{L_2, L_1\} - \gamma^2 L_2 - i\alpha\gamma L_1 + \frac{1}{4}(\beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta).$$

We note that the quadratic relations in the quantum case provide useful information relating the special functions that occur as (separable) eigenfunctions for each superintegrable case [16].

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have used the concept of a ‘nondegenerate potential’ to add structure to the study of superintegrable classical and quantum mechanical systems in $E(2, C)$. We have shown how to classify all such systems in a straightforward manner, so that gaps can be avoided. Furthermore, we have shown the following:

- (1) Each system is associated with a pair of constants of motion in the classical case, and a pair of symmetry operators in the quantum case, that generate a quadratic algebra.
- (2) There is a one-to-one correspondence between superintegrable systems and free-field symmetry operators that generate quadratic algebras.
- (3) Second-order superintegrability implies multiseparability, i.e., separability in more than one coordinate system.

In a forthcoming paper we will prove the analogous results for superintegrable systems on the complex 2-sphere.

Acknowledgments

Work supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant 98-01-00330. We thank the two referees for their valuable suggestions that helped us clarify our exposition of results.

Appendix

As is well known [4, 18, 20] there are essentially six coordinate systems on the complex Euclidean plane in which the free-particle Hamilton–Jacobi equation separates: cartesian, polar, parabolic, elliptic, hyperbolic and semi-hyperbolic. We describe these coordinate systems and their corresponding free-particle constants of motion L . (We adopt the basis $p_x, p_y, M = xp_y - yp_x$ for the Lie algebra $e(2, C)$ and define $p_{\pm} = p_x \pm ip_y$.) There is one orbit of constants of motion, with representative Mp_+ , that is not associated with variable separation [21]. The systems are as follows.

Cartesian coordinates

$$x, y \quad L = p_x^2. \tag{61}$$

Polar coordinates

$$x = r \cos \theta \quad y = r \sin \theta \quad L = M^2. \tag{62}$$

Parabolic coordinates

$$x_P = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 - \eta^2) \quad y_P = \xi\eta \quad L = Mp_y. \tag{63}$$

Elliptic coordinates

(in algebraic form)

$$\begin{aligned} x_E^2 &= c^2(u-1)(v-1) & y_E^2 &= -c^2uv \\ L &= M^2 + c^2p_x^2. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Hyperbolic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_H &= \frac{r^2 + r^2 s^2 + s^2}{2rs} & y_H &= i \frac{r^2 - r^2 s^2 + s^2}{2rs} \\ L &= M^2 + p_+^2. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Semi-hyperbolic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_{SH} &= -\frac{1}{4}(w-u)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(w+u) & i y_{SH} &= -\frac{1}{4}(w-u)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(w+u) \\ L &= 2M p_+ + p_-^2. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

References

- [1] Evans N W 1990 Superintegrability in classical mechanics *Phys. Rev. A* **41** 5666
Evans N W 1991 Group theory of the Smorodinsky–Winternitz system *J. Math. Phys.* **32** 3369
- [2] Evans N W 1990 Super-integrability of the Winternitz system *Phys. Lett. A* **147** 483
- [3] Wojciechowski S 1983 Superintegrability of the Calogero–Moser system *Phys. Lett. A* **95** 279
- [4] Eisenhart L P 1948 Enumeration of potentials for which one-particle Schrödinger equations are separable *Phys. Rev.* **74** 87
- [5] Friš J, Mandrosov V, Smorodinsky Ya A, Uhlir M and Winternitz P 1965 On higher symmetries in quantum mechanics *Phys. Lett.* **16** 354
- [6] Friš J, Smorodinskii Ya A, Uhlir M and Winternitz P 1967 Symmetry groups in classical and quantum mechanics *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **4** 444
- [7] Makarov A A, Smorodinsky Ya A, Valiev Kh and Winternitz P 1967 A systematic search for nonrelativistic systems with dynamical symmetries *Nuovo Cimento A* **52** 1061
- [8] Bonatos D, Daskaloyannis C and Kokkotas K 1994 Deformed oscillator algebras for two-dimensional quantum superintegrable systems *Phys. Rev. A* **50** 3700
- [9] Calogero F 1969 Solution of a three-body problem in one dimension *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 2191
- [10] Cisneros A and McIntosh H V 1969 Symmetry of the two-dimensional hydrogen atom *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 277
- [11] Mardoyan L G, Pogosyan G S, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1985 Elliptic basis for a circular oscillator *Nuovo Cimento B* **88** 43
Mardoyan L G, Pogosyan G S, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1984 Two-dimensional hydrogen atom I. Elliptic bases *Theor. Math. Phys.* **61** 1021
Mardoyan L G, Pogosyan G S, Sissakian A N and Ter-Antonyan V M 1985 Hidden symmetry, separation of variables and interbasis expansions in the two-dimensional hydrogen atom *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18** 455
- [12] Zaslow B and Zandler M E 1967 Two-dimensional analogue of the hydrogen atom *Am. J. Phys.* **35** 1118
- [13] Granovsky Ya A, Zhedanov A S and Lutzenko I M 1991 Quadratic algebra as a ‘hidden’ symmetry of the Hartmann potential *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 3887
- [14] Grosche C, Pogosyan G S and Sissakian A N 1995 Path integral discussion for Smorodinsky–Winternitz potentials I. Two- and three-dimensional Euclidean space *Fortschr. Phys.* **43** 453
- [15] Letourneau P and Vinet L 1995 Superintegrable systems: polynomial algebras and quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians *Ann. Phys., Lpz.* **243** 144–68
- [16] Kalnins E G, Miller W Jr and Pogosyan G S 1996 Superintegrability and associated polynomial solutions Euclidean space and the sphere in two dimensions *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 6439
- [17] Rañada M F 1997 Superintegrable $n = 2$ systems, quadratic constants of motion, and potentials of Drach *J. Math. Phys.* **38** 4165
- [18] Miller Jr W 1977 *Symmetry and Separation of Variables* (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley)
- [19] Kalnins E G and Miller W Jr 1986 Separation of variables on n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds 1. The n -sphere S_n and Euclidean n -space R_n *J. Math. Phys.* **27** 1721
- [20] Kalnins E G 1986 *Separation of Variables for Riemannian Spaces of Constant Curvature (Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics vol 28)* (Essex: Longman)
- [21] Miller W Jr 1988 Mechanisms for variable separation in partial differential equations and their relationship to group theory *Symmetries and Non-linear Phenomena* (Singapore: World Scientific) p 188
- [22] Darboux G 1901 *Ach. Neerl.* (ii) **6** 371
- [23] Whittaker E T 1944 *Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies* 4th edn (New York: Dover) pp 331–6
- [24] Dorrizzi B and Grammaticos B 1983 A new class of integrable systems *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 2282–8

- [25] Ankiewicz A and Pask C 1983 The complete Whittaker theorem for two-dimensional integrable systems and its application *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** 4203–8
- [26] Meletlidou E and Ichtiaroglou S 1999 Isolated periodic orbits and stability in separable potentials. *Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astron.* **71** 289–300